UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		X and a second		
KARAKI NAVIGATIO	N,			
	Plaintiff,	770		6161
- against - BUNGE SA,		: ECF	CASE	CEIVEN
	Defeadant.	: : X	B⇔ sol	JUL 0 2 2007 U
	THE PROPERTY OF	CONSERT A FAPT	Control of the last of the las	A SECOND SERVICES

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, KARAKI NAVIGATION ("Plaintiff"), by and through its attorneys, Lennon, Murphy & Lennon, LLC, as and for its Verified Complaint against the Defendant, BUNGE SA ("Defendant") alleges, upon information and belief, as follows:

- This is an admiralty and maritime claim within the meaning of Rule 9(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 28 United States Code § 1333.
- At all times material to this action, Plaintiff was, and still is, a foreign company duly organized and operating under foreign law with an address at P.C. Box 556, Main Street, Charlestown, Nevis.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant was, and still is, a foreign corporation, or other business entity organized and existing under foreign law with an address in Geneva, Switzerland.
- 4. At all material times to this action, Plaintiff was the Owner of the motor vessel "SVYATAYA YEKATERINA" (hereinafter the "Vessel").
 - 5. At all material times to this action, Defendant was the Charterer of the Vessel.

- 6. Pursuant to a charter party dated February 14, 2007, Plaintiff time-chartered the Vessel to the Defendant.
- 7. Fursuant to the charter party, the Vessel proceeded to Paranagua, Brazil where it loaded Brazilian corn and bean meal in bulk for delivery at, Aqaba, Jordan.
- 8. Further, the Vessel loaded Brazilian yellow maize and corn in bulk for delivery at Jeddah. Saudi Arabia.
- 9. During the course of the charter, disputes arose between the parties stemming from damage allegedly caused to the cargo while at sea.
- 10. As a result of the alleged cargo damage, which Defendant is wholly or substantially liable for under the charter party, Plaintiff has and will suffer losses resulting from claims asserted by cargo receivers in the total principal amount of \$3,045,119.55, exclusive of interest, reasonable attorney's fees and arbitration costs.
- II. In addition, despite due demand, as a result of Defendant has failed to provide security for the elleged cargo damage, leaving Plaintiff to settle and/or secure the claims and demands presented by third-party cargo receivers for cargo damage, all in breach of the Defendant's obligations under the charter party.
- 12. Defendant has also failed to pay hire due and owing to Plaintiff under the charter party in the total principal amount of \$1,246,002.38, exclusive of interest, reasonable attorney's fices and arbitration costs, all in breach of the charter party.
- 13. Pursuant Clause 55 of the charter party, all disputes arising thereunder are to be submitted to arbitration in London with English law to apply.
- 14. Despite due demand, Defendant has failed and/or refused to pay the amounts due and owing to Plaintiff under the charter party.

- 15. As a result, Plaintiff has initiated arbitration proceedings in London against Defendant on its claims.
- 16. Interest, costs and attorneys' fees are routinely awarded to the prevailing party in proceedings subject to English Law. As best as can now be estimated, Plaintiff expects to recover the following amounts pursuant to the Final Arbitration Award(s):

	A	Principal claims: Hire: Cargo claims:	\$1,246,002.38 \$3,045,119.55
	B.	Interest on claims: 3 years at 6.5%, compounded quarterly	\$915,757.94
	C.	Estimated attorneys' fees:	\$400,000.00
	D.	Estimated arbitration costs:	\$75,000.00
Total			\$5,681,879.87

- 17. The Defendant cannot be found within this District within the meaning of Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, but, upon information and belief, Defendant has, or will have during the pendency of this action, assets within this District and subject to the jurisdiction of this Court, held in the hands of one or more garnishees which are believed to be due and owing to the Defendant.
- The Plaintiff sceks an order directing the Clerk of Court to issue Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, and also pursuant to the United States Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 8, attaching, inter alia, any assets of the Defendant held by any garnishees within the District for the purpose of obtaining personal jurisdiction over the Defendant, and to secure the Plaintiff's claims as described above.

- A. That process in due form of law issue against the Defendant, citing it to appear and answer under oath all and singular the matters alleged in the Verified Complaint;
- B. That the Court retain jurisdiction to compel the Defendant to arbitrate in accordance with the United States Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. § 1 et seq.
- Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, this Court issue an Order directing the Clark of Court to issue Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, and also pursuant to the United States Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 8, attaching all goods, chattels, credits, letters of credit, bills of lading, effects, debts and monies, tangible or intangible, or any other funds held by any garnishee within the District which are due and owing to the Defendant, in the amount of \$5,681,879.87 calculated to date to secure the Plaintiff's claims, and that all persons claiming any interest in the same be cited to appear and pursuant to Supplemental Admiralty Rule B answer the matters alleged in the Complaint;
- D. That this Court recognize and confirm any arbitration award(s) or judgment(s) rendered on the claims set forth herein as a Judgment of this Court;
- E. That this Court retain jurisdiction over this matter through the entry of any judgment or award associated with any of the claims currently pending, or which may be initiated in the future, including any appeals thereof;
 - F. That this Court award Plaintiff its attorney's fees and costs of this action; and
- G. That the Plaintiff has such other, further and different relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Page 5 of 6

Dated: July 2, 2007 New York, NY

The Plaintiff.

KARAKINAVIGATION

Patrick F. Lennon (PL 2162)

Naucy R. Peterson (NP 2871)

LENNON, MURPHY & LENNON, LLC

420 Lexington Ave., Suite 300

New York, NY 10170

(212) 490-6050 - phone

(212) 490-6070 - fax

off@fenmur.com

nco@lenmur.com

ATTORNEY'S VERIFICATION

Filed 07/30/2007

State of New York New York City 55.... County of New York)

- My name is Nancy R. Peterson.
- I am over 18 years of age, of sound mind, capable of making this 2. Verification, and fully competent to testify to all matters stated herein.
- I am an attorney in the firm of Leamon, Murphy & Leanon, LLC, attorneys for the 3. Plenutiff.
- 4. I have read the foregoing Verified Complaint and know the contents thereof and believe the same to be true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.
- 5. The reason why this Verification is being made by the deponent and not by the Plaintiff is that the Plaintiff is a business organization with no officers or directors now within this District.
- The source of my knowledge and the grounds for my belief are the б. statements made, and the documents and information received from, the Plaintiff and agents and/or representatives of the Plaintiff.
 - I am authorized to make this Verification on behalf of the Plaintiff. 7.

Dated:

July 2, 2007 New York, NY